

RECOMBINANT POX VIRUS FOR IMMUNIZATION AGAINST  
MUC1 TUMOR-ASSOCIATED ANTIGEN



BACKGROUND OF THE INVENTION

The immunotherapeutic approach to the treatment of cancer is based on the observation that human tumor cells express a variety of tumor-associated antigens (TAAs) that are not typically expressed in normal tissues. These antigens, which include viral tumor antigens, cellular oncogene proteins, and tumor-associated differentiation antigens, can serve as targets for the host immune system and elicit responses which result in tumor destruction. This immune response is mediated primarily by lymphocytes; T cells in general and class I MHC-restricted cytotoxic T lymphocytes in particular play a central role in tumor rejection. Hellstrom, K.E., et al., (1969) Adv. Cancer Res. 12:167-223; Greenberg, P.D. (1991) in Advances in Immunology, vol.49 (Dixon, D.J., ed.), pp.281-355, Academic Press, Inc., Orlando, FL. Unfortunately, as evidenced by the high incidence of cancer in the population, the immune response to neoplastic cells often fails to eliminate tumors. The goal of active cancer immunotherapy is the augmentation of anti-tumor responses, particularly T cell responses, in order to effect complete tumor destruction.

Most attempts at active immunization against cancer antigens have involved whole tumor cells or tumor fragments. However, the cloning of TAAs recognized by CD8 + T cells has opened new possibilities for the immunotherapy of cancer based on the use of recombinant or synthetic anti-cancer vaccines. Boon, T., et al., (1994) *Annu. Rev. Immunol.* 12:337-365; Brithcard, V., et al., (1993) *J. Exp. Med.* 178:489-495; Cox, A.L., et al., (1994) *Science* 264:716-719; Houghton, A.N. (1994) *J. Exp. Med.* 180:1-4; Pardoll, D.M. (1994) *Nature* 369:357-358; Kawakami, Y., et al., (1994) *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* 91:3515-3519; Kawakami, Y., et al., (1994) *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* 91:6458-6462.

10057436-012502

DF3/MUC1 (MUC1) is a cell surface glycoprotein that is overexpressed in breast, ovarian, and pancreatic tumors. The major extracellular portion of MUC1 is composed of tandem repeat units of 20 amino acids which comprise immunogenic epitopes. The full length major extracellular MUC1 protein is  
 5 composed of up to 100 tandem repeat units of 20 amino acids containing O-glycosylation sites which act as a framework for the formation of a highly glycosylated structure, which is highly immunogenic.

The term "tandem repeat unit" of MUC1 refers to the 20 amino acid repeated sequence of MUC1 (see, e.g., Gendler, S.J., et al (1990) *J. Biol. Chem.*  
 10 265:15286-15293).

(SEQ ID NO:1)      G S T A P P A H G V T S A P D T R P A P

There is an abnormal glycosylation pattern found in carcinoma cells making the tumor-derived mucin antigenically distinct from normal mucin.  
 15 Monoclonal antibodies specific for these peptide epitopes as well as their unique sugar side chains can identify >90% of breast tumors.

See Kufe, D., et al. (1984) *Hybridoma* 223-32; Taylor-Papadimitriou, J., et al. (1994) *Trends Biotechnol.* 12:227-33; Fontenot, J.D., et al. (1993) *Cancer Res.* 53:5386-94; Siddiqui J., et al. (1988) *Proc. Nati. Acad. Sci. U.S.A* 85:2320-  
 20 3; Merlo et al. (1989) *Cancer Res.* 49:6966-6971; and Abe, M., et al. (1989) *Biochem Biophys Res Commun* 165:644-9.

Accordingly, using the MUC1 tumor-associated antigen (TAA) has been proposed in developing cancer vaccines, particularly against tumors expressing MUC1. Multiple copies of tandem repeats are required for optimal  
 25 native conformation and immunogenicity (see Fontenot et al., supra). A comparison of synthetic peptides containing 3, 4, or 5.25 tandem repeats of MUC1 revealed that the 5.25-copy version most closely mimicked the native structure of MUC1 and showed the most anti-mucin reactivity (Kotera et al. (1994) *Cancer Res.* 54:2856-2860). Previous recombinant vaccinia viruses  
 30 containing the MUC1 gene with numerous tandem repeats were found to be unstable; homologous recombination resulted in deletion of most of the repeats, reducing the efficacy of the vaccine. See, e.g., Acres, R.B., et al.

1057136 "012502

(1993) *J. Immunother.* 14:136-43; Bu, D., et al. (1993) *J. Immunother.* 14:127-35; Hareuveni, M., et al. (1990) *Proc. Nati. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* 87:9498-502; and Finn O.J. et al. *infra*.

The use of recombinant vaccinia viruses for anti-tumor immunotherapy has been discussed. (Hu, S.L., Hellstrom, I., and Hellstrom K.E. (1992) in Vaccines: New Approaches to Immunological Problems (R.W. Ellis, ed) pp.327-343, Butterworth-Heinemann, Boston.) Anti-tumor responses have been elicited using recombinant pox viruses expressing TAAs such as carcinoembryonic antigen (CEA) and prostate specific antigen (PSA). (Muraro, R., et al., (1985) *Cancer Res.* 45:5769-5780); (Kantor, 3., et al. (1992) *J. Nati. Cancer Inst.* 84:1084-1091); (Robbins, P.F., et al. (1991) *Cancer Res.* 51:3657-3662.) (Kantor, 3., et al. (1992) *Cancer Res.* 52:6917-6925.) No toxicity with these vectors was observed.

In general, viral vaccines are believed to mediate tumor rejection by activating class I MHC-restricted T-cells, particularly cytotoxic T lymphocytes (CTLs). T-cell activation is often potentiated by providing a suitable immunomodulator, for example a T-cell co-stimulatory factor such as those of the B7 gene family. See e.g., Greenberg, P.D. (1991) in *Advances in Immunology*, Vol.49 (Dixon, D.J., ed.), pp. 281-355, Academic Press, Inc., Orlando, FL; Fox B.A. et al. (1990) *J. Biol. Response Mod.* 9:499-511.

It would be useful to have a recombinant pox virus encoding a MUC1 fragment containing a number of tandemly repeated sequences that will generate a cytotoxic T-cell response to MUC1, but which is stable, undergoing minimal excision as a result of homologous recombination in the gene encoding MUC1. It would also be useful to provide the recombinant pox virus in a vaccine format which is capable of potentiating T-cell activity against such tumors, particularly established or pre-existing tumors expressing the MUC1 TAA.

30

#### SUMMARY OF THE INVENTION

The present invention relates to recombinant pox viruses encoding a MUC1 fragment, vaccines, and methods of using the recombinant pox viruses

10057436.012502

and vaccines to generate an immune reaction to MUC1 which can be used to prevent or treat tumors expressing MUC1 TAAs.

The recombinant pox virus of the present invention contains a gene encoding an immunogenic MUC1 fragment of 5 to 25 tandem repeats of the 20 amino acid unit, preferably 7-15 tandem repeats, more preferably about 7-10 tandem repeats, still more preferably about 10 tandem repeats, which when expressed, can vaccinate a mammal against tumors or pre-tumorous cells expressing the MUC1 TAA. This MUC1 gene fragment is stable, maintaining the tandem repeat copy number at around 10 copies.

In some preferred embodiments the DNA segment encoding the tandem repeats is altered from the native pattern by using alternative codons to reduce homology between the repeats. For example, amino acids typically have two or more codons that will encode the same residue (e.g., glycine is encoded by GGT, GGA, GGG, or GGC). By using alternative codons encoding the same amino acid one can further reduce the possibility of undesired recombination events. Additionally, one can also introduce some conservative amino acid changes into different groups of the tandem repeats to further reduce undesired recombination (e.g., glycine/serine, valine/leucine), taking care not to alter a peptide epitope that would reduce its immunogenicity.

The immunogenic "mini-MUC1 fragments" do not undergo significant genetic deletion, thereby improving stability. Moreover, the fragment imparts sufficient immunogenic specificity for MUC1 immunogenicity. The effect can further be enhanced by providing a T-cell co-stimulatory factor such as B7 and/or a cytokine such as interleukin-2 (IL-2), particularly for the treatment of established or pre-existing tumors expressing the MUC1 TAA.

#### BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF THE DRAWINGS

Fig. 1 is a Western blot showing expression of a MUC1 polypeptide, referred to as mini-MUC1 or miMUC1, from rV-MUC1 infected MC38 cells.

Figs. 2A and 2B are graphs showing expression of MUC1 proteins in MC38 cells. Fig. 2B: MC38 cells transduced with a retroviral vector

10057136.012502

containing the mini-MUC1 gene and stained with the DF3 anti-MUC1 antibody. Fig. 2A: Non-transduced MC38 cells.

Fig. 3 is a graph illustrating primary CTL activity following immunization with an admixture of rV-MUC1 and rV-B7.

5 Fig. 4 is a graph showing prevention of MUC1-positive pulmonary metastases by immunization with rV-MUC1.

Fig. 5 is a graph illustrating treatment of established MUC1-positive pulmonary metastases by immunization with an admixture of rV-MUC1 and rV-B7.

10 Fig. 6 is a graph illustrating survival of mice immunized with an admixture of rV-MUC1 and rV-B7.

#### DETAILED DESCRIPTION OF THE INVENTION

Recombinant pox viruses of the present invention encode a mini-MUC1  
15 fragment that can induce an immunogenic response to MUC1, preferably a cytotoxic T cell response, and can thus serve as effective vectors for vaccination against tumors or pre-tumorous cells expressing the MUC1 TAA. Vaccine efficacy can substantially be enhanced by providing an immune modulator such as a T-cell co-stimulatory factor such as B7-1, B7-2 and/or a  
20 cytokine such as IL-2. This is preferred in treating established or pre-existing tumors expressing the MUC1 TAA.

A recombinant pox virus of the present invention can be derived from a naturally-occurring or designated wild-type pox virus strain. The pox virus will be a DNA cytoplasmic pox virus which does not integrate into a host cell  
25 genome. Exemplary of such pox viruses are suipox (e.g., swine pox), capripox, leporipox, avipox (e.g., fowl pox, canary pox) and orthopox (e.g., vaccinia, ectromelia, rabbit pox). Representative pox viruses can be obtained from the ATCC such as fowlpox (VR-229) and swinepox (VR-363). A particularly preferred pox virus is vaccinia available from the ATCC as the Wyeth Strain  
30 (VR-325).

In one preferred embodiment, the recombinant pox viruses of the present invention made from such pox viruses are characterized as being

10057136.01E50E

substantially avirulent. That is, it does not cause disease in the desired target cell or tissue. The selected pox virus may have a host range that does not include the target host species, thereby substantially restricting propagation of the virus in the host. For example, swinepox can be used as a pox virus  
 5 vector in accordance with the present invention when the host is a primate such as a human. Alternatively, a modified strain of the pox virus can be used to confer avirulence in the normal host range of the pox virus.

Exemplary pox viruses for use in accordance with the present invention are suitable orthopox such as vaccinia viruses, avipox such as fowl pox,  
 10 canary pox and pigeon pox and suipox such as swine pox. Several suitable strains of vaccinia virus are available, e.g., in an attenuated form such as the MVA or Wyeth strain. These vaccinia strains are substantially attenuated in their normal host range (see e.g., Smith, K.A., et al. (1993) *Vaccine* 11:43-53).

A preferred example of a vaccinia virus suitable for making a  
 15 recombinant vaccinia virus in accordance with the present invention is the Wyeth strain such as the vTBC33 derivative of the Wyeth strain provided in Example 1. A preferred avipox is fowlpox.

An immunogenic MUC1 fragment can be inserted into a suitable pox virus by conventional recombinant techniques to produce the present  
 20 recombinant pox viruses. For example, as will be explained in more detail in Reference Example 1 and the examples which follow, a DNA donor plasmid vector including a DNA insert encoding an immunogenic MUC1 fragment can be constructed to provide recombination between DNA sequences flanking the insert in the donor plasmid vector and homologous sequences present in the  
 25 virus. Accordingly, a recombinant virus encoding the immunogenic MUC1 fragment is formed therefrom. Other techniques can be used to make the recombinant virus encoding the immunogenic MUC1 fragment including use of a unique restriction endonuclease site that is naturally present or artificially inserted in the parental viral vector (see e.g., Mackett, et al., *Proc.*  
 30 *Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* 79:7415-7419 (1982); and U.S. Patent No.5,093,258).

More particularly, the immunogenic MUC1 fragment can be inserted by conventional methods into the DNA donor vector such as those suitable for

40057436 "012506  
 20050909 09:09:09

use in a prokaryote such as *E. coli*. The donor vector will further include viral DNA which is homologous to a segment of pox virus DNA at the site to which insertion of the MUC1 fragment is desired. DNA encoding the immunogenic MUC1 fragment can be inserted into the DNA donor vector adjacent to

5 suitable control elements in the vector such as promoter, enhancer, ribosome binding, and leader sequences. The DNA so inserted into the donor vector is typically positioned to provide flanking viral DNA (e.g., vaccinia *HindIII*M fragments) on both ends of the insert. As stated previously, the flanking viral DNA will generally be homologous to a DNA sequence flanking a region of the

10 pox virus DNA to which insertion is desired. Preferably, the homologous flanking viral DNA sequence will be 100% homologous to the region of the pox virus DNA to which insertion is desired. Exemplary DNA donor vectors generally include an origin of replication such as the *E. coli* origin of

15 and propagation in a suitable host such as *E. coli*. The resulting DNA donor vector is then propagated by growth within a suitable prokaryotic host cell, isolated and purified if desired.

The DNA donor vector including the immunogenic MUC1 fragment to be inserted into a desired pox virus is generally transfected into a suitable cell

20 culture, e.g., a primate cell line or chick embryo fibroblasts, that is infected with the pox virus. Recombination between homologous DNA in the DNA donor vector and the pox virus genome forms a recombinant pox virus modified by the presence of the immunogenic MUC1 fragment. Preferably, the site of pox virus insertion does not substantially affect the viability of the

25 recombinant pox virus. Viral viability can be readily tested by, e.g., viral plaque assay or a DNA replication assay involving tagging newly synthesized DNA with a detectably-labeled nucleotide (e.g. <sup>3</sup>H-thymidine). Typically, viral viability will be assessed by comparing the viability of the recombinant pox virus to that of a control pox virus (i.e., no inserted DNA).

30 As noted above, the immunogenic MUC1 fragment is inserted into a suitable region (insertion region) of a pox virus so that virus viability is not substantially affected. The skilled artisan can readily identify such regions in

the pox virus by, for example, randomly testing segments of virus DNA for regions that allow recombinant formation without affecting virus viability of the recombinant. One region that can readily be used and is present in many viruses is the thymidine kinase (TK) gene. For example, it has been found in

5 all pox virus genomes examined (e.g., leporipoxvirus: Upton, et al., *J. Virology*, 60:920 (1986) ( Shope fibroma virus); capripoxvirus: Gershon, et al., *J. Gen. Virol.*, 70:525 (1989) (Kenya sheep-1); orthopoxvirus: Weir, et al., *J. Virol.*, 46:530 (1983) (vaccinia); Esposito, et al., *Virology*, 135:561(1984) (monkeypox and variola virus); Hruby, et al., *PNAS*, 80:3411(1983) (vaccinia); Kilpatrick, et

10 al., *Virology*, 143:399 (1985) (Yaba monkey tumor virus); avipoxvirus: Binns, et al., *J. Gen. Virol.* 69:1275(1988) (fowlpox); Boyle, et al., *Virology*, 156:355(1987) (fowlpox); Schnitzlein, et al., *J. Virological Methods*, 20:341(1988) (fowlpox, quailpox); entomopox (Lytvyn, et al., *J. Gen. Virol.* 73:3235-3240 (1992)).

15 In vaccinia, in addition to the TK region, other insertion regions include, for example, HindIII M.

In fowlpox, in addition to the TK region, other insertion regions include, for example, BamHI J [Jenkins, et al., *AIDS Research and Human Retroviruses* 7:991-998 (1991)] the *EcoRI-HindIII* fragment, *BamHI* fragment, *EcoRV-HindIII*

20 fragment, *BamHI* fragment and the *HindIII* fragment set forth in EPO Application No. 0 308 220 Al. [Calvert, et al., *J. of Virol.* 67:3069-3076 (1993); Taylor, et al., *Vaccine* 6:497-503 (1988); Spehner, et al., (1990) and Boursnell, et al., *J. Gen. Virol.* 71:621-628 (1990)].

25 In swinepox preferred insertion sites include the thymidine kinase gene region and the HindIIIC region.

In addition to the requirement that the gene be inserted into an insertion region, successful expression of the inserted gene by the modified poxvirus requires the presence of a promoter operably linked to the desired gene, i.e., in the proper relationship to the inserted gene. The promoter must

30 be placed so that it is located upstream from the gene to be expressed. Promoters are well known in the art and can readily be selected depending on the host and the cell type you wish to target. For example in poxviruses, pox



10057136 "012502  
viral promoters should be used, such as the vaccinia 7.5K, or 40K or fowlpox  
Cl. Artificial pox promoter constructs containing appropriate promoter  
sequences can also be used. Enhancer elements can also be used in  
combination to increase the level of expression. Furthermore, the use of  
5 inducible promoters, which are also well known in the art, are preferred in  
some embodiments.

For example, it is possible to make a DNA vector construct in which the  
promoter is modulated by an external factor or cue, and in turn to control the  
level of polypeptide being produced by the vectors by activating that external  
10 factor or cue. For example, heat shock proteins are proteins encoded by  
genes in which the promoter is regulated by temperature. The promoter of the  
gene which encodes the metal-containing protein metallothioneine is  
responsive to Cd<sup>+</sup> ions. Incorporation of this promoter or another promoter  
influenced by external cues also makes it possible to regulate the production  
15 of the proteins.

The pox vectors of the present invention contain a DNA fragment  
encoding a MUC1 fragment, sometimes referred to as mini-MUC. The MUC1  
gene fragment will encode a sufficient portion of MUC1 to generate an  
immune reaction to MUC1, but does not undergo extensive excision as a  
20 result of homologous recombination. Preferably, the fragment is  
approximately 5 to 25 MUC1 tandem repeat units, more preferably between  
approximately 7 to 15 MUC1 tandem repeat units, and most preferably about  
7 to 12 MUC1 tandem repeat units. An especially preferred immunogenic  
MUC1 fragment is about 10 MUC1 tandem repeat units. Preferred fragments  
25 have the human MUC1 DNA sequence. A preferred MUC1 DNA sequence is  
the human MUC1 cDNA sequence having the repeat units disclosed, e.g., by  
Gendler et al. *supra*. While the sequence reported by Merlo et al., *supra*, is 10  
MUC1 tandem repeat units, a sample based on this was only about 7 tandem  
repeat units. This sample is more fully described in the examples.

30 In some preferred embodiments the DNA segment encoding the tandem  
repeats is altered from the native pattern in such a manner as to reduce  
duplications of the codons. For example, amino acids typically have two or

more codons that will encode the same residue (e.g., glycine is encoded by GGT, GGA, GGG, or GGC). By using other codons encoding the same amino acid one can further reduce the possibility of undesired recombination events. Additionally, one can also introduce some conservative amino acid changes into different groups of the tandem repeats to further reduce undesired recombination (e.g., glycine/serine, valine/leucine), taking care not to alter a peptide epitope that would reduce its immunogenicity.

Preferably, the 60 bp tandem repeat sequence can be altered to minimize nucleotide homology without changing the amino acid sequence. For example the first tandem repeat in miMUC1 can be left unaltered as follows:

	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
	GGC	TCC	ACC	GCC	CCC	CCA	GCC	CAC	GGT	GTC
	G	S	T	A	P	P	A	H	G	V
15										
	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20
	ACC	TCG	GCC	CCG	GAC	ACC	AGG	CCG	GCC	CCG
	T	S	A	P	D	T	R	P	A	P
20										

\*(SEQ ID NO:2)

The second, third, and fourth tandem repeats can then be altered in the third base of threonine codons 3, 11 and 16 using ACG, ACT, and ACA, respectively. These repeats can also be altered in alanine codons 4, 7, 13, and 19, using GCG, GCA, and GCT respectively. Similar third-base alterations can be incorporated at numerous codons in each of the tandem repeats to minimize homologous recombination among the repeats. One example of MUC1 repeat sequences using wobbled codons to minimize homology while retaining repeated amino acid sequence is set forth below in Table A.

TABLE A

R1	GGC	TCC	ACC	ACC	GCC	CCC	CCA	GCC	CAC	GGT	GTC	ACC	TCG	GCC	CCG	GAC	ACC	AGG	CCG	GCC	CCG	(SEQ.ID NO:2)
R2	GGC	AGT	ACT	GCA	CCA	CCA	CCG	GCA	CAT	GGC	GTA	ACA	TCA	GCA	CCT	GAT	ACA	AGA	CCT	GCA	CCT	(SEQ.ID NO:4)
R3	GGA	TCC	ACC	GCG	CCG	CCT	CCG	GCG	CAC	GGA	GTG	ACG	TCG	GCG	CCC	GAC	ACG	CGC	CCC	GCT	CCC	(SEQ.ID NO:5)
R4	GGG	TCA	ACA	GCT	CCT	CCC	GCT	GCT	CAT	GGG	GTT	ACT	TCT	GCT	CCA	GAT	ACT	CGC	CCA	GCT	CCA	(SEQ.ID NO:6)
R5	GGT	TCG	ACG	GCC	CCC	CCT	GCT	GCT	CAC	GGT	GTA	ACA	TCC	GCC	CCG	GAT	ACC	AGA	CCG	GCC	CCT	(SEQ.ID NO:7)
R6	GGC	AGC	ACC	GCA	CCG	CCC	GCA	CCG	CAC	GGG	GTC	ACA	AGC	GCG	CCA	GAC	ACT	CGA	CCT	GCG	CCA	(SEQ.ID NO:8)
R7	GGA	AGT	ACC	GCT	CCA	CCT	GCA	CCT	CAC	GGG	GTG	ACC	AGC	GCG	CCA	GAC	ACT	CGA	CCT	GCG	CCA	(SEQ.ID NO:9)
R8	GGG	TCG	ACT	GCC	CCT	CCG	GCG	GCG	CAT	GGT	GTG	ACC	TCA	GCT	CCT	GAC	ACA	AGG	CCA	GCC	CCA	(SEQ.ID NO:10)
R9	GGT	TCA	ACG	GCA	CCT	CCA	GCA	GCA	CAC	GGA	GTG	ACG	TCT	GCA	CCC	GAC	ACC	CGT	CCA	GCT	CCG	(SEQ.ID NO:11)
R10	GGT	AGT	ACA	GCG	CCA	CCC	GCA	CAT	GTC	GGC	GTC	ACG	AGC	GCT	CCG	GAT	ACG	AGA	CCG	GCG	CCT	(SEQ.ID NO:12)
G	S	T	A	A	P	P	A	H	V	G	V	T	S	A	P	D	T	R	P	A	P	(SEQ.ID NO:1)

One can use the various sequences in any combination. Further, one does not need to use all 10 repeats.

Nucleotide homology can also be reduced by introducing changes to the amino acid sequence, preferably conservative amino acid substitutions into some of the tandem repeats. Immunogenic epitopes such as (SEQ ID NO:3) PDTRPAP would preferably be left intact, but valine codon 10 could be changed to leucine codons CTT, CTC, CTA, and CTG in different repeats.

An immunogenic MUC1 fragment according to the invention can be made by a variety of conventional methods. For example, the fragment can be made by cloning a desired portion of the full-length human MUC1 DNA sequence (see e.g., Merlo, et al., *supra*; and Abe, M., et al., *supra*). Restriction enzymes can be used to cleave the desired fragment. The immunogenic MUC1 DNA fragment can also be prepared by amplification by the Polymerase Chain Reaction (i.e., PCR). Use of cloning and PCR amplification techniques to make an immunogenic MUC1 fragment is disclosed in Example 1 which follows.

An immunogenic mini-MUC1 fragment in accordance with the present invention can be inserted into a suitable pox virus to produce a recombinant pox virus which encodes the intact fragment and is reasonably stable. Expression of the immunogenic MUC1 fragment can be readily determined by several methods, including assaying samples of a suitable target cell or tissue by SDS-PAGE gel electrophoresis followed by Coomassie blue or silver staining; Western blot using DF3 antibody, or other suitable immunological technique such as ELISA.

Live recombinant viruses expressing an immunogenic cell encoded tumor associated antigen can be used to induce an immune response against tumor cells which express the protein. These recombinant viruses may be administered by scarification, as was conventionally done for small pox vaccination, or by other routes appropriate to the recombinant virus used. These may include among others, intramuscular, intradermal, subcutaneous, and intravenous routes. Vaccination of a host organism with live

40057136.01E90E

recombinant vaccinia virus is followed by replication of the virus within the host.

For parenteral administration, the recombinant vectors will typically be injected in a sterile aqueous or non-aqueous solution, suspension or emulsion in association with a pharmaceutically-acceptable carrier such as physiological saline. Kits containing the vector and the means for injection can be used. The kit preferably contains instructions describing how to use the vector. In one embodiment, the kit contains a vector modified to include an immunomodulator or a separate vector containing the immunomodulator as described below. In addition the kit can contain an adjuvant.

A specific immune response to a tumor associated antigen can be generated by administering between about  $10^5$ - $10^9$  pfu of the recombinant pox virus, constructed as discussed above to a host; more preferably one uses  $\geq 10^7$  pfu. The preferred host is a human. At least one interval thereafter, which is preferably one to three months later, the immune response is boosted by administering additional antigen to the host. More preferably there is at least a second "boost" preferably at least one to three months after the first boost, more preferably 6-12 months after the first boost. The boosting antigen may be administered using the same pox virus vector, or as a whole protein, an immunogenic peptide fraction of the protein, another recombinant viral vector, or DNA encoding the protein or peptide. Preferably, different pox viral vectors are used. For example, vaccinia may be followed by an avipox such as fowlpox, or vice versa. Cytokines, e.g., IL-2, IL-6, IL-12, IL-15, or co-stimulatory molecules, e.g., B7.1, B7.2, may be used as biologic adjuvants. The cytokines can be administered systemically to the host. Either cytokines or co-stimulatory molecules can be co-administered via co-insertion of the genes encoding the molecules into the recombinant pox vector or a second recombinant poxvirus which is admixed with the recombinant poxvirus expressing the TAA.

Adjuvants include, for example, RIBI Detox (Ribi Immunochemical), QS21 (Aquila), incomplete Freund's adjuvant or many others.

Alternatively, it will sometimes be useful to use a recombinant pox virus encoding the immunogenic MUC1 fragment which has been modified to include an immunomodulator, for example, DNA encoding a T-cell co-stimulatory factor and/or a cytokine such as interleukin (IL) (e.g., IL-2, IL-4, IL-10, IL-12), an interferon (IFN) (e.g., IFN- $\gamma$ ), granulocyte macrophage colony stimulating factor (GM-CSF) or an accessory molecule (e.g. ICAM-1). The construction of such multivalent vectors such as pox viral vectors is within the level of skill in the art based upon the present disclosure. In some cases, co-expression of the immunomodulatory agent such as the T-cell co-stimulatory factor and the immunogenic fragment of MUC1 by multiple vectors may be desirable. It may be desirable to administer a substantially pure preparation of, e.g., the immunomodulator to boost vaccine efficacy.

In preferred embodiments after initial administrations of the viral vector by one pox a different pox virus, preferably from a different pox family will be used for the following administrations (i.e. boosts). For example, initial administrations by vaccinia or avipox would preferably be followed by boosts from an avipox or vaccinia, respectively, or by a suipox.

Although initially generally less preferred in most cases, it may be desirable to use another DNA or RNA virus or vector to insert an immunogenic MUC1 DNA fragment into a subject host. Such an approach may be useful where multiple boosts are used and the subject is at risk of developing an antigenic reaction to the host pox vector. Exemplary of such vectors are DNA or RNA viruses such as retroviruses, adenoviruses, herpes viruses or DNA-based vectors (see generally, Cepko et al., *Cell* 37:1053-1062 (1984); Morin et al., *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* 84:4626-4630 (1987); Lowe et al., *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA*, 84:3896-3900 (1987); Panicali & Paoletti, *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA*, 79:4927-4931(1982); Mackett et al., *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA*, 79:7415-7419 (1982)). In an alternative embodiment, one would prime first with a non-pox viral vector expressing mini-MUC1, or a DNA segment encoding mini-MUC1, followed by boosting, wherein at least one boost involves the use of pox vectors.

Further contemplated uses of the recombinant pox viruses disclosed herein include use in the production of antibodies, particularly monoclonal antibodies that are capable of specifically binding the immunogenic MUC1 fragments. More specifically, it can be desirable to produce the antibodies, e.g., to detect mucin glycosylation in tumor and pre-tumorous cells *in vitro* and *in vivo*. The antibodies may be prepared by a variety of standard methods well-known to those skilled in the art. For example, cells expressing an immunogenic MUC1 fragment can be administered to an animal to induce production of polyclonal antibodies. Alternatively, monoclonal antibodies which specifically bind an immunogenic MUC1 fragment can be prepared using hybridoma technology (see, e.g., Kohler et al., *Nature* 256: 495 (1975); Hammerling et al., *In Monoclonal Antibodies and T Cell Hybridomas*, Elsevier, NY (1981)).

Cytotoxic T-cells specific for an immunogenic MUC1 fragment can be established from peripheral blood mononuclear cells (PBMC) obtained from a host immunized as discussed above. For example, PBMC can be separated by using Lymphocyte Separation Medium gradient (Organon Teknika, Durham, NC, USA) as previously described Boyum, et al., *Scand J. Clin Lab Invest* 21: 77-80 (1968). Washed PBMC are resuspended in a complete medium, for example, RPMI 1640 (GIBCO) supplemented with 10% pool human AB serum (Pel-Freez Clinical System, Brown Deer, WI, USA), 2mM glutamine, 100 U/ml penicillin and 100 µg/ml of streptomycin (GIBCO). PBMC at a concentration of about  $2 \times 10^5$  cells in complete medium in a volume of, for example, 100 µl are added into each well of a 96-well flat-bottom assay plate (Costar, Cambridge, MA, USA). The immunogenic MUC1 fragment can be added to the cultures in a final concentration of about 50 µg/ml and incubated at 37°C in a humidified atmosphere containing 5% CO<sub>2</sub> for 5 days. After removal of the media containing the fragment, the cultures are provided with fresh human IL-2 (10U/ml) after 5 days and replenished with IL-2 containing medium every 3 days. Primary cultures are restimulated with the immunogenic MUC1 fragment (50 µg/ml) on day 16.  $5 \times 10^5$  irradiated (4,000 rad) autologous PBMC are added in a volume of about 50 µl complete medium as antigen-

10057136-012502

presenting cells (APC). About five days later, the cultures are provided with human IL-2 containing medium as described previously. Cells are restimulated for 5 days at intervals of 16 days.

The cytotoxic T-cells can be cultured in accordance with known methods and then injected back into the host by a variety of means. Generally, between  $1 \times 10^5$  and  $2 \times 10^{11}$  cytotoxic T-cells per infusion are administered in, for example, one to three infusions of 200 to 250 ml each over a period of 30 to 60 minutes. After the completion of the infusions, the patient may be treated with recombinant interleukin-2 with a dose of 720,000 IU per kilogram of body weight intravenously every eight hours; some doses can be omitted depending on the patient's tolerance for the drug. In addition, after infusion, additional recombinant pox virus or immunogenic MUC1 fragment containing T-cell eliciting epitope(s) may be administered to the patient to further expand the T-cell number. The antigen or epitope may be formulated with an adjuvant and/or may be in a liposomal formulation.

The cytotoxic T-cells can also be modified by introduction of a viral vector containing a DNA encoding TNF and reintroduced into a host in an effort to enhance the anti-tumor activity of the cells.

## REFERENCE EXAMPLE 1

### Pox Viruses

A number of pox viruses have been developed as live viral vectors for the expression of heterologous proteins. Representative vaccinia virus strains such as Wyeth and MVA have been disclosed previously. (Cepko et al., *Cell* 37:1053-1062 (1984); Morin et al., *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* 84:4626-4630 (1987); Lowe et al., *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA*, 84:3896-3900 (1987); Panicali & Paoletti, *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA*, 79:4927-4931(1982); Mackett et al., *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA*, 79:7415-7419 (1982)). Representative fowlpox and swinepox virus are available through the ATCC under accession numbers VR-229 and VR-363, respectively. The Wyeth strain of vaccinia is available through the ATCC under accession number VR-325.



### DNA Vectors For *In Vivo* Recombination With A Parent Virus

Genes that code for desired carcinoma associated antigens are inserted into the genome of a pox virus in such a manner as to allow them to be expressed by that virus along with the expression of the normal complement of parent virus proteins. This can be accomplished by first constructing a  
 5 DNA donor vector for *in vivo* recombination with a pox virus.

In general, the DNA donor vector contains the following elements:

- (i) a prokaryotic origin of replication, so that the vector may be amplified in a prokaryotic host;
- 10 (ii) a gene encoding a marker which allows selection of prokaryotic host cells that contain the vector (e.g., a gene encoding antibiotic resistance);
- (iii) at least one gene encoding a desired protein located adjacent to a transcriptional promoter capable of directing the expression of the gene; and
- (iv) DNA sequences homologous to the region of the parent virus  
 15 genome where the foreign gene(s) will be inserted, flanking the construct of element (iii).

Methods for constructing donor plasmids for the introduction of multiple foreign genes into pox virus are described in W091/19803, the techniques of which are incorporated herein by reference. In general, all DNA  
 20 fragments for construction of the donor vector, including fragments containing transcriptional promoters and fragments containing sequences homologous to the region of the parent virus genome into which foreign genes are to be inserted, can be obtained from genomic DNA or cloned DNA fragments. The donor plasmids can be mono-, di-, or multivalent (i.e., can  
 25 contain one or more inserted foreign gene sequences).

The donor vector preferably contains an additional gene which encodes a marker which will allow identification of recombinant viruses containing inserted foreign DNA. Several types of marker genes can be used to permit the identification and isolation of recombinant viruses. These include genes  
 30 that encode antibiotic or chemical resistance (e.g., see Spyropoulos et al., *J. Virol.*, 62:1046 (1988); Falkner and Moss., *J. Virol.*, 62:1849 (1988); Franke et al., *Mol. Cell. Biol.*, 5:1918 (1985), as well as genes such as the *E. coli lacZ*

gene, that permit identification of recombinant viral plaques by colorimetric assay (Panicali et al., *Gene*, 47:193-199 (1986)).

#### Integration Of Foreign DNA Sequences Into The Viral Genome And Isolation Of Recombinants

Homologous recombination between donor plasmid DNA and viral DNA in an infected cell results in the formation of recombinant viruses that incorporate the desired elements. Appropriate host cells for *in vivo* recombination are generally eukaryotic cells that can be infected by the virus and transfected by the plasmid vector. Examples of such cells suitable for use with a pox virus are chick embryo dermal (CED) cells, HuTK143 (human) cells, and CV-1 and BSC-40 (both monkey kidney) cells. Infection of cells with pox virus and transfection of these cells with plasmid vectors is accomplished by techniques standard in the art (Panicali and Paoletti, U.S. Patent No. 4,603,112, W089/03429). Alternatively, the donor DNA can be directly ligated into the parental virus genome at a unique restriction site (Scheifflinger, et al. (1992) *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. (USA)* 89:9977-9981).

Following *in vivo* recombination or ligation, recombinant viral progeny can be identified by one of several techniques. For example, if the DNA donor vector is designed to insert foreign genes into the parent virus thymidine kinase (TK) gene, viruses containing integrated DNA will be TK<sup>-</sup> and can be selected on this basis (Mackett et al., *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA*, 79:7415 (1982)). Alternatively, co-integration of a gene encoding a marker or indicator gene with the foreign gene(s) of interest, as described above, can be used to identify recombinant progeny. One preferred indicator gene is the *E. coli lacZ* gene: recombinant viruses expressing  $\beta$ -galactosidase can be selected using a chromogenic substrate for the enzyme (Panicali et al., *Gene*, 47:193 (1986)).

#### Characterizing The Viral Antigens Expressed By Recombinant Viruses

Once a recombinant virus has been identified, a variety of methods can be used to assay the expression of the polypeptide encoded by the inserted gene. These methods include black plaque assay (an *in situ* enzyme

immunoassay performed on viral plaques), Western blot analysis, radioimmunoprecipitation (RIPA), enzyme immunoassay (EIA), or functional assay such as CTL assay.

5

## EXAMPLE 1

Construction of Recombinant Vaccinia Virus Encoding MUC1 Gene Sequences

## A. Mini-MUC1 gene vector

The human DF3/MUC1 cDNA was constructed from two cloned cDNA segments [Merlo, et al., *supra*; Abe, M. et al., *surpa*]. A 1.8 kb EcoRI fragment  
 10 of MUC1 cDNA reported as containing 10 tandem repeats and its 3' unique sequence was inserted into Bluescript™ plasmid (Stratagene, La Jolla, CA) at the EcoRI site and designated pBs-MUC1. The 5' end of the MUC1 gene was generated from another MUC1 clone by PCR using MUC1 specific primers. The 200 base pair amplification fragment was inserted into pBs-MUC1 at the  
 15 HindII and HindIII sites creating pBS-miMUC1 containing the "mini" MUC1 gene (sometimes referred to herein as "miMUC1"). However, DNA sequence analysis of the miMUC1 gene confirmed that this gene contained the appropriate signal and start site, but not 10 tandem repeats. Instead it contained 7 repeats that showed some variation. The DNA sequence of the  
 20 repeated portion of the miMUC1 gene is set forth below in Table B.

The deduced amino acid sequence of the repeat region predicted from nucleotide sequence analysis of MUC1 gene is set forth below in Table C.

Moreover, the 3' coding sequence actually differs from that reported by Merlo, *supra*, but conforms to the 3' sequence reported by Gendler, *supra*.

25 The entire coding sequence of the miniMUC1 gene is shown in Table D.

1057436-01.0000

TABLE B

R1	GGCTCC ACCGCCCCC CCA GCC CAC GGT GTC ACC TCG GCC CCG GAC ACC AGGCCG GCC CCG	(SEQ.ID NO:2)
R2	GGCTCC ACCGCCCCC CCA GCC CAC GGT GTC ACC TCG GCC CCG GAC ACC AGGCCG GCC CCG	(SEQ.ID NO:2)
R3	GGCTCC ACCGCCCCC CCA GCC CAC GGT GTC ACC TCG GCC CCG GAC ACC AGGCCG GCC CCG	(SEQ.ID NO:2)
R4	GGCTCC ACCGCCCCC CCA GCC CAC GGT GTC ACC TCG GCC CCG GAC ACC AGGCCG GCC CCG	(SEQ.ID NO:13)
R5	GGCTCC ACCGCCCCC CCA GCC CAC GGT GTC ACC TCG GCC CCG GAC ACC AGGCCG GCC CCG	(SEQ.ID NO:2)
R6	GGCTCC ACCGCCCCC CCA GCC CAT GGT GTC ACC TCG GCC CCG GAC AAC AGGCCG GCC TTG	(SEQ.ID NO:14)
R7	GGCTCC ACCGCCCCT CCA GTC CAC AAT GTC ACC TCG GCC	(SEQ.ID NO:15)

TABLE C

Repeat 1	G S T A P P A H G V T S A P D T R P A P	(SEQ ID NO:1)
Repeat 2	G S T A P P A H G V T S A P D T R P A P	(SEQ.ID NO:1)
Repeat 3	G S T A P P A H G V T S A P D T R P A P	(SEQ.ID NO:1)
Repeat 4	G S T A P P A H G V T S A P D T R P A P	(SEQ.ID NO:16)
Repeat 5:	G S T P A P G S T A P P A H G V T S A P D T R P A P	(SEQ.ID NO:1)
Repeat 6:	G S T A P P A H G V T S A P D T R P A P	(SEQ.ID NO:17)
Repeat 7:	G S T A P P A H N V T S A	(SEQ.ID NO:18)

**TABLE D**

(SEQ ID NO:19)

ATGACACCGGGCACCCAGTCTCCTTTCTTCCTGCTGCTGCTCCTCACAGTGCTT  
 M T P G T Q S P F F L L L L L T V L  
 ACAGCTACCACAGCCCCTAAACCCGCAACAGTTGTTACGGGTTCTGGTCATGCA  
 T A T T A P K P A T V V T G S G H A  
 AGCTCTACCCAGGTGGAGAAAAGGAGACTTCGGCTACCCAGAGAAGTTCAGTG  
 S S T P G G E K E T S A T Q R S S V  
 CCCAGCTCTACTGAGAAGAATGCTGTGAGTATGACAAGCTTGATATCGAATTCC  
 P S S T E K N A V S M T S L I S N S  
 GGTGTCCGGGGCTCCACCGCCCCCCCAGCCCACGGTGTCACCTCGGCCCCGGAC  
 G V R G S T A P P A H G V T S A P D  
 ACCAGGCCGGCCCCGGGCTCCACCGCCCCCCCAGCCCACGGTGTCACCTCGGCC  
 T R P A P G S T A P P A H G V T S A  
 CCGGACACCAGGCCGGCCCCGGGCTCCACCGCCCCCCCAGCCCACGGTGTCACC  
 P D T R P A P G S T A P P A H G V T  
 TCGGCCCCGGACACCAGGCCGGCCCCGGGCTCCACCGCACCCCCAGCCCACGGT  
 S A P D T R P A P G S T A P P A H G  
 GTCACCTCGGCCCCGGACACCAGGCCGGGCCCCGGGCTCCACCCCCGGCCCCGGGC  
 V T S A P D T R R A P G S T P A P G  
 TCCACCGCCCCCCCAGCCCACGGTGTCACCTCGGCCCCGGACACCAGGCCGGCC  
 S T A P P A H G V T S A P D T R P A  
 CCGGGCTCCACCGCCCCCCCAGCCCATGGTGTCACCTCGGCCCCGGACAACAGG  
 P G S T A P P A H G V T S A P D N R  
 CCCGCCTTGGGCTCCACCGCCCCCTCCAGTCCACAATGTCACCTCGGCCTCAGGC  
 P A L G S T A P P V H N V T S A S G  
 TCTGCATCAGGCTCAGCTTCTACTCTGGTGCAACGGCACCTCTGCCAGGGCT  
 S A S G S A S T L V H N G T S A R A  
 ACCACAACCCAGCCAGCAAGAGCACTCCATTCTCAATTCCCAGCCACCACTCT  
 T T T P A S K S T P F S I P S H H S  
 GATACTCCTACCACCTTGCCAGCCATAGCACCAAGACTGATGCCAGTAGCACT  
 D T P T T L A S H S T K T D A S S T  
 CACCATAGCACGGTACCTCCTCTCACCTCCTCCAATCACAGCACTTCTCCCCAG  
 H H S T V P P L T S S N H S T S P Q

10057436.012502

TABLE D (cont'd)

(SEQ ID NO:19)

TTGTCTACTGGGGTCTCTTTCTTTTCTGTCTTTTCACATTTCAAACCTCCAG  
 L S T G V S F F F L S F H I S N L Q  
 TTTCCTTCCTCTCTCGAAGATCCCAGCACCGACTACTACCAAGAGCTGCAGAGA  
 F P S S L E D P S T D Y Y Q E L Q R  
 GACATTTCTCAAATGTTTTGCAGATTTATAACAAGGGGGTTTTCTGGGCCTC  
 D I S Q M F L Q I Y K Q G G F L G L  
 TCCAATATTAAGTTCAGGCCAGGATCTGTGCTGGTACAATTGACTCTGGCCTTC  
 S N I K F R P G S V L V Q L T L A F  
 CGAGAAGGTACCATCAATGTCCACGACGTGGAGACACAGTTCAATCAGTATAAA  
 R E G T I N V H D V E T Q F N Q Y K  
 ACGGAAGCAGCCTCTCGATATAACCTGACGATCCCAGACGTCAGCGTGAGTGAT  
 T E A A S R Y N L T I P D V S V S D  
 GTGCCATTTCTTTCTCTGCCCAGTCTGGGGCTGGGGTGCCAGGCTGGGGCATC  
 V P F P F S A Q S G A G V P G W G I  
 GCGCTGCTCCTGCTGGTCTGTGTTCTGGTTGCGCTGGCCATTGTCTATCTCATT  
 A L L L L V C V L V A L A I V Y L I  
 GCCTTGGCTGTCTGTTCAGTGCCGCCGAAAGAACTACGGGCAGCTGGACATCTTT  
 A L A V C Q C R R K N Y G Q L D I F  
 CCAGCCCGGGATACCTACCATCCTATGAGCGAGTACCCACCTACCACACCCAT  
 P A R D T Y H P M S E Y P T Y H T H  
 GGGCGCTATGTCCCCCTAGCAGTACCGATCGTAGCCCCTATGAGAAGGTTTCT  
 G R Y V P P S S T D R S P Y E K V S  
 GCAGGTAATGGTGGCAGCAGCCTCTCTTACACAAACCCAGCAGTGGCAGCCACT  
 A G N G G S S L S Y T N P A V A A T  
 TCTGCCAACTTG TAG  
 S A N L

10057136.012502

## B. Recombinant Vaccinia Virus

The miMUC1 gene described above was inserted 3' to the vaccinia 40K early/late promoter and flanked by sequences from the Hind III M region of the vaccinia genome. The resulting plasmid, designated pT2041, contained the miMUC1 gene under the control of the vaccinia virus 40K early/late promoter flanked by DNA sequences from the Hind III M region of the vaccinia genome. These flanking sequences included the vaccinia K1L host range gene required for growth of vaccinia virus on rabbit kidney RK13 cells (ATCC CCL37). A plaque-purified derivative of the Wyeth strain of vaccinia was used as the parental virus (designated vTBC33), lacked a functional K1L gene and thus could not efficiently replicate on RK13 cells. See e.g., Gritz, L., et al. (1990) *J. Virol.* 64:5948-57; Gillard, S., et al. (1986) *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* 83:5573-7; and Smith, KA., et al., *supra*.

Generation of recombinant vaccinia virus was accomplished via homologous recombination between vaccinia sequences in the vTBC33 genome and the corresponding sequences in pT2041 in vaccinia- infected RK13 cells transfected with pT2041. Recombinant virus, designated vT46(rV-MUC1), was selected by growth on RK13 cells (ATCC CCL37). Virus stocks were prepared by clarifying infected RK13 cell lysates followed by centrifugation through a 36% sucrose cushion.

The selection and screening of rV-MUC1 was done by growth in RK13 cells. The recombinant vaccinia rV-MUC1 was isolated as a single recombinant clone and purified by two rounds of plaque purification. The miMUC1 gene insertion into the vaccinia virus genome HindIII M site by homologous recombination was confirmed by Southern analysis with <sup>32</sup>p radiolabeled miMUC1 gene as a probe. The Southern analysis indicated that the vaccinia virus had not deleted any portions of the gene, in contrast to the deletions reported with full-length MUC1 genes (see Bu, D., et al. *supra*).

A plasmid similar to pT2041 was constructed that contained the *lacZ* gene in addition to the mini-MUC1 gene; this plasmid was designated pT2068. The plasmid DNA pT2068 was deposited with the American Type

Culture Collection (ATCC), 12301 Parklawn Drive, Rockville, Maryland 20852, under the conditions of the "Budapest Treaty on the International Recognition of the Report of Microorganisms for the Purpose of Patent Procedure." The deposit was given ATCC Designation 97893. If the culture dies or is destroyed during the effective term, it will be replaced if a patent issues. If a patent issues, the strain will be maintained for 30 years from the date of deposit, or five years after the most recent request, whichever is longer.

PT2068 was used to construct a recombinant vaccinia virus containing mini-MUC1 using a colorimetric screen for  $\beta$ -gal.

A recombinant vaccinia virus strain expressing the human co-stimulatory molecule B7-1 (designated rV-B7) has been disclosed. The virus was grown in spinner cultures of HeLa cells, directly pelleted by centrifugation, and purified over 20%-40% sucrose gradients (Hodge, J.W., et al. (1994) *Cancer Res.* 54:5552-5; Earl, P.L., et al. (1993) *Generation of recombinant vaccinia viruses*, Vol.2, Suppl. 21, 16.17.1-16.18.10. New York: John Wiley & Sons).

## EXAMPLE 2

### Characterization of Recombinant Vaccinia Virus With miMUC1 Insert

#### A. Southern Blot Hybridization Analysis

BSC-1 cells (ATCC CC126) were infected at an MOI of 10 with either miMUC1 recombinant vaccinia virus (designated rV-MUC1) or V-Wyeth. The viral DNA extraction, restriction endonuclease digestion and Southern blotting was performed as previously described (see e.g., Kaufman, H., et al. (1991) *Int. J. Cancer.* 48:900-7). The results indicated that the miMUC1 gene was stably inserted into the HindIIIIM region of the vaccinia genome.

#### B. Western Analysis of Protein Expression and Stability

Parallel confluent BSC- 1 cells were infected with either parental wild type vaccinia virus (V-Wyeth), or rV-MUC1 at an MOI of 5 in Dulbecco's modified Eagle's medium containing 2.5 %FBS. After an



overnight infection, cells in one plate were scraped and lysed in hypotonic lysis buffer (100 mM Tris-HCl pH 8.0, 100mM NaCl, 0.5% NP-40, and 0.2 mM PMSF). The infected cells in the corresponding parallel plate were scraped and high titer virus preparations were derived as previously described (Earl, P.L., et al. (1993) *Generation of recombinant vaccinia viruses*, Vol.2, Supplement 21, 16.17.1-16.18.10. New York: John Wiley & Sons). The resulting virus was used to infect parallel confluent BSC- 1 cells as before. This cycle was repeated to generate protein extracts from cells infected with rV-MUC1 that had gone through 2, 3, 4, and 5 passages of viral replication. Cell lysates were electrophoresed on an SDS-10% acrylamide gel. Proteins were electroblotted onto nitrocellulose, blocked, incubated with DF3 antibody (Kufe, D., et al. *supra*) for 4 hours at room temperature, washed and incubated with goat anti-mouse phosphatase labeled secondary antibody (Kirkegaard and Perry, Gaithersburg, MD) and developed according to the manufacturer's instructions.

Stable expression of MUC1 after 2, 3, 4 or 5 passages of viral replication of rV-MUC1 was confirmed by Western analysis (Fig. 1). Incubation of protein extracted from rV-MUC1 infected cells from passage 2 (Fig. 1, lane 2) with the monoclonal antibody DF3 revealed a broad 150-175 kD band. Similarly, incubation of protein extracted from cells infected with viral passages 3, 4, and 5 with DF3 (lanes 3, 4, and 5) revealed identical bands ranging from 150-175 kD. Lane 1 contains purified MUC1 protein of approximately 300kD. This finding is consistent with reports indicating the apparent molecular mass of these glycoproteins, which appear heterogeneous as a result of O-linked glycosylation in the tandem repeats (Sekine, H., et al. (1985) *J. Immunol.* 135:3610-5). Uninfected or V-Wyeth infected cells were negative for the expression of MUC1 by Western blot using DF3 MAb.

## EXAMPLE 3

Construction and Characterization of Recombinant Vaccinia Virus  
Containing MUC1 and B7.1

The miMUC1 gene and the human B7.1 gene were each ligated to vaccinia promoters. The promoter-gene cassettes were then inserted into a plasmid vector containing the *E. coli lacZ* gene flanked by DNA sequences from the HindIII M region of the vaccinia genome. The resulting plasmid, designated pT2043, contains the B7.1 gene under the control of the vaccinia virus 30K promoter (located at the HindIII M insertion site; Perkus *et al.* (1985) Science 229: 981-984), the MUC1 gene under the control of the vaccinia virus 40K early/late promoter (Gritz *et al.*, supra), and the *lacZ* gene under the control of the fowlpox Cl promoter (Jenkins *et al.*, (1991) AIDS Res. Human Retrovirus 7:991-998), all flanked by DNA sequences from the HindIII M region of the vaccinia genome. A plaque-purified derivative of the Wyeth strain of vaccinia was used as the parental virus in the construction of recombinant vaccinia virus. The generation of recombinant vaccinia virus was accomplished via homologous recombination between vaccinia sequences in the Wyeth vaccinia genome and the corresponding sequences in pT2043 in vaccinia-infected RK<sub>13</sub> cells transfected with pT2043. Recombinant virus, designated vT2043, was identified using a chromogenic substrate for  $\beta$ -galactosidase (Bluo-Gal<sup>TM</sup>). Viral plaques expressing *lacZ* appeared blue against a clear background. Positive plaques were picked from the cell monolayer and their progeny were further propagated. Repeated rounds of plaque isolation and replating in the presence of Bluo-Gal resulted in the purification of the desired recombinant. Virus stocks were prepared by clarifying infected RK<sub>13</sub> cell lysates followed by centrifugation through a 36% sucrose cushion. Insertion of the MUC1 and B7.1 genes into the vaccinia genome was confirmed by Southern analysis using MUC1 and B7.1 gene probes. Expression of MUC1 and B7.1 protein was demonstrated by Western analysis using antibodies specific for each protein. More preferably,

10057136 .012502

another cell line such as the monkey kidney cell line CV-1 (ATCC CCL 70) or chick embryo dermal (CED) cells would be used for vaccine production.

#### EXAMPLE 4

##### Construction and Characterization of Recombinant Avipox Virus Containing MUC1 and B7.1

The miMUC1 gene is inserted into a plasmid vector containing the vaccinia 40K promoter and the *E. coli lacZ* gene flanked by DNA sequences from the BamHI J region of the fowlpox genome. The resulting plasmid contains the miMUC1 gene under the control of the vaccinia virus 40K early/late promoter (Gritz *et al.*, supra), and the *lacZ* gene under the control of the fowlpox C1 promoter (Jenkins *et al.*, supra), all flanked by DNA sequences from the BamHI J region of the fowlpox genome. The parental virus used for the generation of this recombinant virus is the USDA licensed live fowlpox vaccine POXVAC-TC (Schering-Plough Corporation). The generation of recombinant vaccinia virus is accomplished via homologous recombination between fowlpox sequences in the POXVAC-TC fowlpox genome and the corresponding sequences in the plasmid vector in fowlpox-infected chick embryo dermal cells (CED), prepared as described (Jenkins *et al.*, supra), transfected with the plasmid vector. Recombinant virus is identified using a chromogenic substrate for  $\beta$ -galactosidase (Bluo-Gal™). Viral plaques expressing *lacZ* appear blue against a clear background. Positive plaques are picked from the cell monolayer and their progeny are further propagated. Repeated rounds of plaque isolation and replating in the presence of Bluo-Gal result in the purification of the desired recombinant. Virus stocks are prepared by clarifying infected CED cell lysates followed by centrifugation through a 20% sucrose cushion. Insertion of the MUC1 gene into the fowlpox genome is confirmed by Southern analysis using a MUC1 gene probe. Expression of MUC1 protein is demonstrated by Western analysis using antibodies specific for MUC1.

Construction and characterization of a recombinant fowlpox virus containing both MUC1 and B7.1 is accomplished by inserting a promoter-

B7.1 cassette into the plasmid described above, and by carrying out the manipulations described above.

Recombinant canary pox viruses containing MUC1 or MUC1 and B7.1 are constructed and characterized in an analogous fashion using canary pox as the parental virus (Taylor *et al.* (1991) Vaccine 9:190-193; Paoletti, U.S. patent 5,505,941).

#### EXAMPLE 5

##### 1. Transfection and Transduction of the miMUC1 gene in pLNSX

A 2 kb *XhoI/XbaI* restriction endonuclease fragment from pBs-miMUC1 was isolated and the ends repaired with DNA polymerase 1-Klenow fragment and ligated into the *Stu I* site of the retroviral vector pLNSX. The pLNSX-miMUC1 gene was transfected into the PA317 packaging cell line by Lipofectin (GIBCO/BRL) according to the manufacturer's instructions. Cells were harvested, plated onto 60mm dishes, and incubated with 200-500  $\mu\text{g/ml}$  G418 for three weeks. Clones of PA317 cells containing the miMUC1 gene were identified by Northern blot analysis of total RNA isolated from G418 resistant clones using the *XbaI/XhoI* DNA fragment of the miMUC1 gene as a radioactive probe. The retroviral supernatants of MUC1-transduced PA317 cells were collected and used to transduce MC38 cells in the presence of polybrene (8 $\mu\text{g/ml}$ ). Following transduction, MC38 cells were selected by cloning G418 resistant colonies and selection by FACs analysis using DF3 antibody. The resultant MUC1 positive cell line was designated MC38/MUC1. Those cells were shown to be negative for B7-1 expression by flow cytometry.

The amphotrophic packaging cell line PA317 was obtained from Dr. Robert Bassin (National Cancer Institute, NIH, Bethesda, MD).

The MC38 murine colonic adenocarcinoma cell line (20) was obtained from the laboratory of Dr. Steve Rosenberg (National Cancer Institute, NIH, Bethesda, MD).

## EXAMPLE 6

FACS Analysis of Recombinant Protein Expression

Cell surface expression of MUC1 on MC38/MUC1 cells was analyzed by immunofluorescence. Cells were harvested and incubated at 4°C for 30 minutes with 1 µg/ml DF3 MAb in 5% FBS-DPBS, followed by incubation with fluorescein-labeled goat anti-mouse IgG (Kirkegaard and Perry) for 30 minutes at 4°C. Analysis was performed with a FACScan (Becton-Dickinson Mountain View, CA).

Surface expression of MUC1 glycoprotein in MUC1-transduced MC38 cells was examined by flow cytometry. Figs. 2A and 2B illustrate that untransduced MC38 cells (Fig. 2A) do not react with DF3 MAb (98.5% of the cells are negative with a mean fluorescence of 20). However, MC38 cells transduced with the MUC1 gene (Fig. 2B) react strongly with the DF3 antibody (87.5% of the cells are positive with a mean fluorescence of 400). These studies thus demonstrate that MC38 tumor cells transduced with the miMUC1 gene (MC38/MUC1) express the MUC1 molecule.

## EXAMPLE 7

Anti-Tumor Activity of Recombinant Vaccinia Virus Vaccine

A. Cytotoxicity Assay. To analyze the effect of rV-MUC1 or rV-MUC1/rV-B7 vaccination on MUC1 specific cytotoxic activity, splenic lymphocytes from mice inoculated with rV-MUC1 or the mixture of rV-MUC1 and rV-B7 were tested for their ability to lyse murine adenocarcinoma cells that were negative (MC38) or positive for MUC1 (MC38/MUC1) (Kantor, 3., et al. *supra*). Briefly, spleens were removed and mechanically dispersed through 70 mm cell strainers (Falcon, Becton Dickinson, Franklin Lakes, NJ) to isolate single cell suspensions. Erythrocytes and dead cells were removed by centrifugation over a Ficoll-Hypaque gradient (density = 1.119 g/ml) (Sigma Chemical Co., St. Louis, MO). MC38 cells and MC38/MUC1 cells were prepared for use as targets in a standard indium release assay as described previously (Hodge, J.W., et al. (1995) *Cancer Res.* 55:3598-603). Tumor cells ( $2 \times 10^6$  cells) were

1057436.01302

radiolabeled with 50 $\mu$ Ci of  $^{111}\text{In}$  oxyquinoline solution (Amersham, Arlington Heights, IL) for 30 minutes at 37°C and dispensed (10<sup>4</sup> cells/50  $\mu$ l) into each well of 96-well U-bottom plates (Costar, Cambridge, MA). T-cells were added to effector to target (E:T) ratios of 100:1 - 12.5:1 in 96 well U-bottomed plates (Costar) and incubated for 16 hours at 37°C with 5% CO<sub>2</sub>. After incubation, supernants were collected using a Supernatant Collection System (Skatron, Sterling, VA) and radioactivity was quantitated using a gamma counter. (Cobra Autogamma, Packard, Downers Grove, IL). The percentage of specific release of  $^{111}\text{In}$  was determined by the standard equation: % specific lysis = [(experimental-spontaneous)/(maximum-spontaneous)]x100.

Fig. 3 shows the results of an experiment in which groups of mice were inoculated with an admixture of 10<sup>7</sup> PFU rV-MUC1 and 10<sup>7</sup> PFU V-Wyeth (squares), or an admixture of 10<sup>7</sup> PFU rV-MUC1 and 10<sup>7</sup> PFU rV-B7 (circles). All groups were inoculated with an admixture of 10<sup>7</sup> PFU rV-MUC1 and 10<sup>7</sup> PFU V-Wyeth after 14 and 28 days. Seven days following the final immunization, cytolytic activity was quantified against MC38 cells (MUC1 negative; closed symbols) or MC38/MUC1 cells (MUC1 positive; open symbols). T-cells from mice inoculated three times with rV-MUC1/V-Wyeth or one time with rV-MUC1/rV-B7 followed by two inoculations with rV-MUC1/V-Wyeth did not lyse the MUC1 negative MC38 targets (closed symbols), but did lyse the MUC1 positive MC38/MUC1 targets (open symbols). This MUC1 specific lysis was E:T ratio dependent.

#### B. Prevention of MUC1 Positive Pulmonary Metastases

Groups of C57BL/6 mice were inoculated subcutaneously with either (a) an admixture of 10<sup>7</sup> PFU rV-B7 and 10<sup>7</sup> PFU V-Wyeth; (b) an admixture of 10<sup>7</sup> PFU rV-MUC1 and 10<sup>7</sup> PFU V-Wyeth; or (c) an admixture of 10<sup>7</sup> PFU rV-MUC1 and 10<sup>7</sup> PFU rV-B7. After two weeks, mice in the first group were inoculated with 2x10<sup>7</sup> PFU V-Wyeth; while the remaining two groups were inoculated with an admixture of 10<sup>7</sup> PFU rV-MUC1 and 10<sup>7</sup> PFU V-Wyeth. Two weeks later, mice were challenged intravenously with

$2 \times 10^6$  MC38/MUC1 tumor cells. Mice were euthanized 28 days following tumor transplant and experimental pulmonary metastatic nodules as defined by Wexler (Wexler, H., et al. (1966) *J. Natl. Cancer Inst.* 36:641-645) were stained. These metastatic nodules were enumerated in a blind fashion, and lungs with nodules too numerous to count were assigned an arbitrary value of >250.

Fig. 4 illustrates the efficacy of rV-MUC1 in this experimental tumor model. Mice inoculated with rV-B7/V-Wyeth were all positive for lung metastases (8/10 mice had greater than 250 nodules). In contrast, 90% of mice inoculated with rV-MUC1/V-Wyeth and boosted with rV-MUC1/V-Wyeth remained free of pulmonary metastases (1/10 mice had 6 nodules). Similarly, 90% of mice receiving the same immunization scheme with the addition of rV-B7 in the first immunization remained free of pulmonary metastases.

#### C. Therapy of Established MUC1 Positive Pulmonary Metastases

C57BL/6 mice were challenged intravenously with  $2 \times 10^6$  MC38/MUC1 tumor cells. After 3 days, mice were randomized and inoculated subcutaneously with either (a)  $2 \times 10^7$  PFU V-Wyeth; (b) an admixture of  $10^7$  PFU rV-B7 and  $10^7$  PFU V-Wyeth; (c) an admixture of  $10^7$  PFU rV-MUC1 and  $10^7$  PFU V-Wyeth; or (d) an admixture of  $10^7$  PFU rV-MUC1 and  $10^7$  PFU rV-B7. Seven days later, mice in the first two groups were inoculated intravenously with  $2 \times 10^7$  PFU V-Wyeth, while the remaining two groups were inoculated intravenously with an admixture of  $10^7$  PFU rV-MUC1 and  $10^7$  PFU V-Wyeth. Seven days later, following this inoculation, mice were inoculated a third time in a similar fashion. Mice were euthanized 28 days following tumor transplant and pulmonary metastatic nodules were stained and enumerated as above. Identically treated groups were followed for survival. Kaplan-Meier plots and Mantel-Cos (Logrank) tests were used to compare survival of mice belonging to different treatment groups.

Fig. 5 shows the efficacy of rV-MUC1 in a therapeutic setting. Mice inoculated with V-Wyeth or rV-B7/V-Wyeth were all positive for lung metastases (9/10 and 7/10, respectively had greater than 250 nodules.) Although all mice inoculated 3 times with rV-MUC1/V-Wyeth were positive for lung nodules, the number of metastases was comparatively low (7/10 with <50 nodules). In contrast, 30% of mice inoculated with rV-MUC1/rV-B7 and boosted with rV-MUC1/V-Wyeth remained free of pulmonary metastases, while the remaining mice all had less than 20 lung nodules.

Fig. 6 depicts a different parameter of therapy of established MUC1 positive pulmonary metastases in which a parallel group of mice was inoculated identically to those above and monitored for survival. In the experiment shown in Fig. 6, groups of 10 mice were transplanted intravenously with  $2 \times 10^6$  MC38/MUC1 tumor cells, and tumors were allowed to establish for 3 days. Mice were inoculated every 7 days as in Fig. 5. Immunization sequences were: V-Wyeth: V-Wyeth: V-Wyeth (open triangles); rV-B7/V-Wyeth: V-Wyeth: V-Wyeth (closed circles); rV-MUC1/V-Wyeth: rV-MUC1/V-Wyeth: rV-MUC1/V-Wyeth: rV-MUC1/V-Wyeth (closed squares); and rV-MUC1/rV-B7: rV-MUC1/V-Wyeth: rV-MUC1/V-Wyeth (open circles). Vaccination of mice with V-Wyeth or rV-B7/V-Wyeth and boosting with V-Wyeth had no effect on mouse survival, with 100% mortality by 50-56 days post tumor challenge. In contrast, inoculation of mice three times with rV-MUC1/V-Wyeth resulted in a significant improvement of survival time ( $p=0.0009$ -  $<.0001$ ). Furthermore, immunization of mice with rV-MUC1/rV-B7 followed by two boosts with rV-MUC1/V-Wyeth resulted in 100% survival of mice ( $p <.0001$ ). It thus appears that the administration of rV-MUC1 can significantly improve the survival of mice bearing MUC1 positive tumors, but only the admixture of rV-MUC1 with rV-B7 can completely eradicate MUC1 expressing tumors. Lungs of these animals were examined at day 65 and were free of tumor nodules.

The recombinant pox viruses of the present invention provide significant advantages. For example, previously described recombinant



vaccinia viruses encoding MUC1 can undergo significant genetic deletion thereby destabilizing the virus, decreasing antigen immunogenicity, and reducing vaccine efficacy. In contrast, the present recombinant pox viruses encode an immunogenic MUC1 fragment that does not undergo significant genetic deletion, thereby providing an unexpectedly stable and immunogenic pox virus. Accordingly, efficacy of vaccines including the present recombinant pox viruses is substantially increased. Propagation of the present recombinant pox viruses is positively impacted by the stability of the immunogenic MUC1 fragment, e.g., by providing uniform isolation of desired viral strains. Importantly, vaccine efficacy against established or pre-existing MUC1 expressing tumors is potentiated by providing an immunomodulator such as a T-cell co-stimulatory factor, particularly as an admixture with another recombinant pox virus encoding the T-cell co-stimulatory factor.

All publications, patents, and patent applications mentioned in the specification are indicative of the level of skill of those in the art to which this invention pertains. All publications, patents, and patent applications are fully incorporated herein by reference.

Those skilled in the art will recognize, or be able to ascertain using no more than routine experimentation, many equivalents to the specific embodiments of the invention described herein. Such equivalents are intended to be encompassed by the following claims.

10057436-012302